

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,526	6 06/25/2003		James P. Reilly	32993-72727	4086
23643	7590	09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
BARNES &		LEYBOURN	LEYBOURNE, JAMES J		
INDIANAPO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2881	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/603,526	REILLY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James J. Leybourne	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	 ,					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,9-12,14 and 16-19</u> is/are rejection 5. 7) Claim(s) <u>5,7,13 and 15</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to see the second of t	(a) accepted or b) \Box objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is objective.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat priority documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/03.						

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krutchinsky et al. (US 20020148972).

In Fig. 2, Krutchinsky et al. disclose a MALDI pulsed ionizer 12 includes a laser 20 configured to pulse a sample located on a substrate 22. The laser 20 is focused on the sample through a lens 24 and a mirror 26 directs the collimated laser beam through a window 25 towards the surface of the substrate 22. The mirror 26 is preferably configured to be "wobbled" in order to scan the sample with the laser beam [0028]. It is inherent that a processing unit is used to operate the laser source and control the steering of the laser beam over the sample.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2881

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krutchinsky et al. as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, in view of Hughey et al. (USPN 6707035). Regarding claims 6, 8, 14 and 16, in Fig. 5, Krutchinsky et al. teach placing samples to be analyzed on a sample stage arranged in a predetermined geometric pattern. However, they are silent regarding use of a mirror array comprising separate mirrors for X and Y motion of the beam.

In Fig 11a, Hughey et al. disclose a laser beam 1100 directed through a window 1102 in a sealed chamber containing a catalyst plate 1104. They teach that the catalyst plate 1104 can be stationary and provisions can be included for scanning the laser beam 1100 in either one or two dimensions using one or more moving mirrors (column 14, lines 50-54). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the X and Y scanning mirrors of Hughey et al. could be used to provide the scanning capability in the pulsed ionizer of Krutchinsky et al. and this would be desirable because Krutchinsky et al. teach the mirror 26 is preferably configured to be "wobbled" in order to scan the sample with the laser beam [0028].

Art Unit: 2881

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5, 7, 13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 5, 7, 13 and 15, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a method or apparatus for scanning a MALDI source support using a logarithmic spiral pattern.

Relevant Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

USPN 6558902 to Hillenkamp teaches that target sites for a MALDI source can be arranged in any pattern, for example, in a line, a spiral, concentric circles, rows, or an array of rows and columns.

US 2004/0023410 published by Stacey discusses a MALDI sample deposited in a spiral.

Art Unit: 2881

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Leybourne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2478. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00- 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 26, 2004

JJL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER